	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/848,185	SHORT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David A. Lambertson	1636	
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) <u>David A. Lambertson, Ph.D</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Carolyn Erickson</u> .	(4)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 June 2004	Time: <u>7:15am</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G Applicant's representative was contacted regarding the state case was abandoned.			
Dovt III			
Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of all issues. A brief sum did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum	n. The examiner will provide a variete record of the substance of	vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview	
	CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)	